



GOC Priority 2025 Legislation

Last Updated: June 02, 2025

Bills by Issue

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATION 2025 (22)

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 68	Re Referred To Com On Ed 2025 05 06	In Assembly	Support	High

Title

School safety: armed school resource officers.

Primary Sponsors

Jamie Gallagher

Bill Summary:

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Jan 9, 2025, 12:04 AM

This bill would require a school district or charter school to hire or contract with at least one armed school resource officer, as defined, authorized to carry a loaded firearm to be present at each school of the school district or charter school during regular school hours and any other time when pupils are present on campus, phased in by certain grade spans.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Jan 8, 2025, 11:58 PM

This bill was introduced following a shooting at an Oroville, California elementary school. AB 68 was introduced by Assemblyman Bill Essayli on the fist day of sesssion. GOC strongly supports this legislation; protecting our most vulnerable - our children when they are at school, should not be a partisan matter.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 256	Introduced Measure Version Corrected 2025 01 29	In Assembly	Support	High

Title

Crimes involving firearms.

Primary Sponsors

Carl DeMaio

Bill Summary:

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Feb 24, 2025, 5:22 AM

This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to crimes involving firearms. This bill currently has no substantive language.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 458	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Assembly	Monitor	High

Title

Public contracts: firearms, ammunition, and firearm accessories.

Primary Sponsors

Catherine Stefani

Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 4:48 PM

Existing law generally regulates contracts entered into by any state agency to be awarded by competitive bidding. This bill, during the bidding process, mandates the bidders regarding firearms, ammunition, and firearm accessories submit to a number of extraordinary new provisions, which includes a subjective scoring system that evaluates a bidder's adherence to the law and whether they are allowed to enter into contracts with state agencies.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 16, 2025, 5:32 PM

GOC believes the new requirements for bidding are onerous and punitive, and will do nothing to fight crime. Further, it creates a highly subjective scoring system to be used in contracting or purchasing that evaluates a bidder's or contractor's public safety values relative to firearms, ammunition and firearm accessories. Plus, it permits an agency or department to deny a bid if they "determine that the bidder or contractor is not aligned with the state's public safety values relating to firearms, ammunition and firearm accessories." Given the state's current "public safety values" it won't take a rocket scientist to figure out how this will work out for any bidder who supports the Second Amendment. *Update: The bill has been amended. The language regarding California's "public safety values" has been removed, due to its subjectivity. The bill still contains establishment of a scoring system, which is still subjective. The bill was passed through by the public safety committee and into appropriations, which deals with the financial impact of the bill. {LETTER}

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 584	Referred To Com On Pub S 2025 05 07	In Senate	Support	Medium

Title

Firearms dealers and manufacturers: secure facilities.

Primary Sponsors

Heather Hadwick

Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Feb 24, 2025, 8:30 PM

Existing law defines a secure facility for firearms dealers to store firearms as a building that, among other requirements, has perimeter doorways with certain specifications. This bill would expand the definition of a secure facility for the entities to allow a doorway with a windowed or windowless steel door that is equipped with panic hardware that operates a multipoint lock that bolts into the interior frame of the door. This basically conforms the Penal Code to the fire code.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 4:53 PM

GOC supports this proposal; it's a positive move. The bill has been moved forward into the appropriations committee, which deals with the fiscal impact of the bill.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 824	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Assembly	Oppose	Medium
Title Protective orders: firearms and ammunition.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Feb 24, 2025, 8:55 PM Existing law establishes procedures where people can petition the court for protective or restraining orders, including gun violence restraining orders, to require the restrained person from taking certain actions. This bill would add the verbiage "or ammunition" when referencing a requirement to relinquish a firearm due to any type restraining order.		
Primary Sponsors Catherine Stefani					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 4:55 PM This bill is making non substantive changes to laws already passed in regard to firearms relinquishment due to a restraining order. Portions of the law do not contain the verbiage "and ammunition" and "or ammunition" in the penal and welfare code. This bill adds conforming language. This bill has been moved forward into the appropriations committee.					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 879	Referred To Com On Pub S 2025 05 21	In Senate	Monitor	Low
Title Firearms: unsafe handguns.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 4:59 PM This bill would authorize a peace officer employed by a county probation department and using an unsafe handgun as a service weapon by completion of the firearm portion of a training course prescribed by POST.		
Primary Sponsors Joe Patterson					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1006	In Committee Held Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Assembly	Oppose	Medium
Title Firearms: concealed carry.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 28, 2025, 6:25 PM As recently amended, this bill makes changes to CCW renewals. This bill would treat the spouse of the recorded owner of the firearm as the recorded owner for licensing purposes. The bill would include additional specified acts that would deem an applicant as a disqualified person, including providing any inaccurate or incomplete information in connection with the application or, in the 10 years prior to the licensing authority receiving the completed application for a new license or a license renewal, the applicant has been charged with certain offenses, including knowingly and willingly threatening the life of any elected public official and other specified persons.		
Primary Sponsors James Ramos					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 5:06 PM There are a number of positive components to this bill (spouse on the permit, extension of permit length), but the provisions that expand the reasons someone could be denied a permit are concerning. Thus, we have an oppose unless amended position at this time. *Edit: The bill has been amended, the four-year CCW provision has been removed. Portions of the subjective language have been removed from the bill. The bill still contains language such as, "known or should have known," in regard to omitting information on a CCW application. This language is still subjective, and states nothing about intent, or WILLFUL withholding of information. GOC continues to oppose this bill unless it is amended. The bill passed through public safety and into the appropriations committee on 4/8/25.					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1078	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Assembly	Oppose	High
Title Firearms.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 5:09 PM Current law authorizes a licensing authority to issue a license to carry a concealed handgun or to carry a loaded and exposed handgun. Existing law requires a licensing authority to conduct an investigation in regard to the applicant's background. This bill establishes provisions for those who live outside of California, seeking to obtain a CA CCW permit. The bill also contains a provision limiting the purchase of firearms to the in a 30-day period.		
Primary Sponsors Marc Berman					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 16, 2025, 5:33 PM This bill has been amended. The bill establishes provisions for out-of-state CCW applicants and the process they must follow in order to obtain a permit. Language in the bill mandates a licensing authority to deny a permit for any "inaccurate or incomplete information" contained withing the application. This means a CCW could be denied for a paperwork error. Any denial will remain on the applicants record. There is also a "three guns in 30 days" purchase limit contained in the provisions. California is attempting to circumvent a court ruling that deemed its one gun in 30 days rule, unconstitutional. Any restrictions on the number of firearms a law-abiding citizen may purchase in blatantly unconstitutional. GOC continues to oppose this bill. on 4/8/25 the bill passed through Public Safety committee and into Appropriations. {LETTER}					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1092	In Committee Reconsideration Granted 2025 04 08	In Assembly	Support	High
Title Firearms: concealed carry licenses.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Feb 24, 2025, 9:10 PM This bill would extend the duration of a valid CCW license to 4 years instead of 2.		
Primary Sponsors Leticia Castillo					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 5:22 PM GOC plans on supporting this legislation; it is entirely reasonable and will generate cost savings. *Update: The bill initially did not pass public safety committee on 4/1/25. The author of the bill asked for a motion to reconsider. The motion to reconsider passed unanimously on 4/8/25, with all members voting "yes." The bill will be reheard in public safety on a date to be determined. This is encouraging, if the members were NOT going to change their votes at the rehearing, they simply would have voted "no" on the motion to reconsider. GOC is optimistic that this bill will move forward into appropriations.					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1127	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Assembly	Oppose	High

Title

Firearms: converter pistols.

Primary Sponsors

Jesse Gabriel, Catherine Stefani, Mike Gipson, Buffy Wicks

Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 2:33 AM

Converter pistols; existing law prohibits any person from selling, leasing, or transferring any firearm unless the person is licensed as a firearms dealer. Existing law prescribes certain requirements and prohibitions for licensed firearms dealers. A violation of any of these requirements or prohibitions is grounds for forfeiture of a firearms dealer's license. For purposes of these provisions, existing law defines "machinegun" to mean, among other definitions, any weapon that shoots or is designed to shoot automatically more than one shot, without manual reloading, by a single function of the trigger. This bill would prohibit a licensed firearms dealer to sell, offer for sale, exchange, give, transfer, or deliver any semiautomatic convertible pistol. For these purposes, the bill would define "convertible pistol" as any semiautomatic pistol that can be converted into a machinegun solely by the installation or attachment of a pistol converter and "pistol converter" as any device or instrument that when installed in or attached to the slide of a semiautomatic pistol interferes with the trigger mechanism and thereby enables the pistol to discharge a number of shots or bullets rapidly or automatically with one continuous pull of the trigger.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at May 5, 2025, 7:09 PM

AB 1127 operates as a veiled ban on Glock handguns, one of the most widely used and trusted firearm brands in the nation. Glocks are carried by law enforcement, military personnel, and responsible civilians across the country due to their reliability and ease of use. By specifically targeting the potential for modification, this bill disproportionately affects potential Glock purchasers and restricts access, further demonstrating that this legislation is not about safety but about incremental firearm prohibition. {LETTER} *Update: The bill passed both policy committees and was amended, yet GOC continues in our strong opposition, which would ban the SALE and TRANSFER of one of the most popular and reliable handguns in America. Media reports have indicated that the bill is retroactive - meaning that it would also impact current owners of Glock firearms. This is not true; the bill is an egregious 2A violation, but individuals who own a Glock will not have to turn their guns in, but they will be prohibited from transferring or purchasing another one.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1187	In Committee Held Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Assembly	Oppose	High

Title

Firearms: safety certificates.

Primary Sponsors

Celeste Rodriguez

Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Feb 24, 2025, 9:50 PM

This bill makes a number of changes to firearm safety certificates and concealed weapons permit requirements. The most outrageous provisions mandate any applicant for a firearm safety certificate, on or after July 1, 2027, to complete a training course no less than 8 hours in length that, among other things, includes instruction on firearm safety and handling and live-fire shooting exercises on a firing range.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 5:58 PM

This bill is on GOC's TOP PRIORITY bills to OPPOSE. and will put an enormous obstacle in the path of responsible, lawful individuals from being able to protect their families and their homes. In fact, given the extraordinary shortage of certified instructors in the state, this bill is tantamount to an actual ban on the ability to the purchase of a firearm. Millions of guns are sold in the state each year and there is no physical way to accommodate 8 hours of class for every individual - and this does not even take into consideration the availability - and accessibility of time at a gun range. If someone has been the victim of an assault or repeated break-ins and wants to purchase a firearm for self-protection, they should be able to do so without extreme restrictions and delays. This is abundantly important given that the U.S. Supreme Court has made it clear that law enforcement officers are under no legal obligation to protect us from harm. {LETTER} *UPDATE: This bill passed through public safety committee and into appropriations on 4/8/25. It was clear that the committee has no regard for the constitution or the infringements it wants to place on CA citizens. GOC is committed to opposing this bill at all levels. It remains our top priority.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1263	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Assembly	Oppose	High

Title

Firearms: ghost guns.

Primary Sponsors

Mike Gipson

Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 2:43 AM

CNC Milling Machines: Existing law makes it a crime for a person to manufacture or cause to be manufactured specified firearms. Existing law prohibits a person, other than a state-licensed firearms manufacturer, from using a computer numerical control (CNC) milling machine or three-dimensional printer to manufacture a firearm.This bill would prohibit a person from knowingly or willfully causing another person to engage in the unlawful manufacture of firearms or knowingly or willfully aiding, abetting, prompting, or facilitating the unlawful manufacture of firearms, including the manufacture of assault weapons or .50 BMG rifles or the manufacture of any firearm using a three-dimensional printer or CNC milling machine.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:00 PM

This bill is a likely follow-up to Gipson's "ghost gun" prohibition legislation of 2023; GOC Executive Director labeled this bill as a case of "gun control diarrhea" - the author has chocked the bill full of absurd anti-gun provisions. Provides for significant civil penalties and greatly expands CNC machine prohibitions. {LETTER} *UPDATE: This bill passed through public safety and into the appropriations committee on 4/8/25. GOC is committed to continued opposition.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	AB 1316	In Committee Held Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Assembly	Oppose	Low

Title

Hunting licenses: information on firearms.

Primary Sponsors

Dawn Addis

Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Mar 3, 2025, 9:06 PM

This bill would require the Department of Fish and Wildlife in conjunction with the CA DOJ, beginning July 1, 2027, to ensure that every person who purchases a hunting license receives information regarding (1) The safe storage of firearms. (2) California's child access prevention laws. (3) Liability for parents and guardians who should have known their child could access a firearm at home. (4) Basic California firearm laws. (5) How to legally transfer or relinquish a firearm. The bill would authorize the CA DFW, in cooperation with the CA DOJ, to create regulations regarding the implementation of this requirement, and to include additional information to be provided with a hunting license.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 3:09 AM

This is yet another bill to "notify" individuals about the responsibilities of gun ownership - this time those who have hunting licenses.

State CA	Bill Number AB 1333	Last Action Re Referred To Com On Pub S 2025 03 17	Status In Assembly	Position Monitor	Priority Low
--------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------

Title Crimes: homicide.	Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 3:15 AM DUE TO INTENSE PUBLIC PRESSURE - MUCH OF IT FROM GOC MEMBERS - THE AUTHOR HAS AGREED TO DROP THE BILL. Current law has granted individuals the right to act in self-defense (since 1872) in situations where either their home or property has been invaded without permission – and they felt their lives were in peril. It was amended, however, in 1984 because anyone who killed or injured an intruder was forced to prove they had acted out of ‘reasonable fear’ for life, and therefore had to use ‘reasonable force.’ This bill would eliminate certain circumstances under which homicide is justifiable, including in defense of a home or property.
-----------------------------------	---

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 3:13 AM
THIS BILL HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN BY THE AUTHOR. With the introduction of his AB 1333, Zbur makes a mockery of self-defense, acting as if the defender is the problem rather than the criminal. His bill would allow prosecutions of crime victims who try to defend themselves and fundamentally gives an actual physical AND legal advantage to the offender. The bill is sponsored by the anti-gun group Everytown for Gun Safety, and they have been vocal in their support, stating “This legislation builds on California’s gun safety legacy and lays the blueprint for the rest of the nation,” said Monisha Henley, senior vice president for government affairs at Everytown. “White supremacists and other extremists have hidden behind self-defense laws to fire a gun and turn any conflict into a death sentence. Now, lawmakers have an opportunity to help stop that and save lives. We thank Assemblymember Zbur for his commitment to gun safety and listening to advocates and experts on ways to keep Californians safe from gun violence.”

State CA	Bill Number AB 1344	Last Action Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	Status In Assembly	Position Monitor	Priority Low
--------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------

Title Restrictions on firearm possession: pilot project.	Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 1, 2025, 3:22 AM .This bill would authorize the Counties of El Dorado and Ventura to establish, until January 1, 2032, a pilot program to additionally authorize a district attorney to request that the court issue a temporary emergency gun violence restraining order.
--	---

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 21, 2025, 8:57 PM
This "study" bill is "permissive" , which means it is not mandated by law.

State CA	Bill Number AB 1363	Last Action Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	Status In Assembly	Position Monitor	Priority Low
--------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------

Title Protective orders: Wyland’s Law.	Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:13 PM This bill, Wyland’s Law, would require a superior court to maintain a record demonstrating that it has discharged its obligation to transmit a protective order to the Department of Justice and would require the Department of Justice to maintain a record demonstrating its receipt of the protective order, as specified. The bill would require those records to be made available to a petitioner, respondent, or protected person, or their representative, within one business day upon an oral or written request. This bill would require each superior court and the department to develop and implement an electronic form and manage an email address to facilitate the electronic submission of these requests.
--	---

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 15	May 23 Hearing Held In Committee And Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Senate	Oppose	High
Title Firearms.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Feb 24, 2025, 11:22 PM Current law grants the Department of Justice to conduct regular inspections of licensed firearm dealers. This bill seeks to codify federal law in regards to dealer record keeping and would also authorize the department to periodically increase the inspection fee as well as annually inspect the 10 firearm dealer locations with the highest percentage of total sales that were recovered by law enforcement and found to be illegally possessed, used in a crime, or suspected to have been used in a crime.		
Primary Sponsors Catherine Blakespear					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:18 PM This bill is nothing more than a backdoor attempt by the California legislature to reduce the number of gun dealers within the state. Gun dealers already face rigorous and burdensome tracking and documentation requirements. Senator Blakespear wants to punish gun dealers for circumstances completely out of their control. Dealers cannot be expected to bear the responsibility for what happens to a gun after it is legally purchased and removed from their inventory. The bill also mandates that dealers produce an affidavit stating all of their records are current and accurate - regardless of how small an inadvertent error might be and violations could result in a 2 year ban from the FFL list. {LETTER} *UPDATE: This bill was heard in appropriations and placed on suspense as of 4/7/25. The cost of implementing the provisions of the bill is extremely high. There will be significant updates after the Governor's revised budget is released in May of 25'					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 93	May 23 Hearing Held In Committee And Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Senate	Oppose	Medium
Title Weapons: robotic devices.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:20 PM This bill would prohibit a person from operating a robotic device, as defined, equipped or mounted with a weapon, as defined. The bill would make a violation an infraction punishable by a fine of at least \$100 but not more than \$2,000. The bill would exclude specified persons, including a government official acting within the scope of their employment and a person acting within the scope of their employment by an organization that is researching, developing, testing, or manufacturing the robotic device for government use, if the person can demonstrate that they are researching, developing, testing, or manufacturing the robotic device for that purpose		
Primary Sponsors Akilah Weber Pierson					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 248	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Senate	Oppose	Medium

Title

Firearms: information to new owners.

Primary Sponsors

Susan Rubio

Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Feb 24, 2025, 7:27 PM

Current law mandates that any sale, loan, or transfer of a firearm must be processed through a licensed firearms dealer. It also requires a person who receives a firearm to submit to the Department of Justice a report, describing the firearm and providing personal information. This bill would require the department to mail to any person who notifies the department of a firearm transaction a letter that includes certain information relevant to firearm ownership, such as information on how to legally transfer or relinquish a firearm and resources regarding gun violence restraining orders, among others.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:23 PM

This is a waste of the Department's time and resources, and appears to follow on the heels of AB 1598 of 2023 (Chapter # 248) which mandated that DOJ to update the firearms safety test with similar information including the "reasons" and risks of owning a firearm and bringing a firearm into the home, including the increased risk of death to someone in the household by suicide, homicide, or unintentional injury." They are clearly attempting to scoop up and "warn" those individuals who secure a firearm from legal transfers as opposed to from a retail establishment. Additionally, the costs of implementation would further stress the DROS fund. This bill is wholly unnecessary and will do nothing but make it more cumbersome for lawful and responsible Californians from exercising their Second Amendment rights. {LETTER} *UPDATE: This bill was heard in the appropriations committee on 4/1/25 and placed on suspense. The cost of implementing the provisions of the bill is extremely high. There will be significant updates after the Governor's revised budget is released in May of 25'

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 320	May 23 Hearing Held In Committee And Under Submission 2025 05 23	In Senate	Monitor	Medium

Title

Firearms: California Do Not Sell List.

Primary Sponsors

Monique Limón

Bill Summary: Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Feb 24, 2025, 5:52 PM

This bill would require the Department of Justice to develop and launch a system to allow a person who resides in California to voluntarily add their own name to, and subsequently remove their own name from, the California Do Not Sell List, with the purpose of preventing the sale or transfer of a firearm to the person who adds their name. This is a basic reintroduction of AB 29/Gabriel (2023) which died in the Legislature's fiscal committee.

Organizational Notes

Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:27 PM

Existing laws already provide mechanisms for individuals struggling with mental health concerns to seek help without creating unnecessary bureaucratic barriers. Although voluntary, any list that restricts a Constitutional right sets a dangerous precedent, as no other right requires individuals to preemptively waive their freedoms that may be difficult or time-consuming to reverse. While SB 320 contains a safeguard that would protect citizens from adding their names to a list as a condition of employment, there is a very real possibility that individuals could be coerced or manipulated in placing themselves on the list for other reasons. Given DOJ's existing technological inefficiencies and the numerous databases it manages, delays in removing someone from the "Do Not Sell" list could further compound the problem. {LETTER} *UPDATE: this bill passed the public safety committee as of 3/25/25. The bill is awaiting hearing in the judiciary committee on April 22nd, 2025.

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 649	From Committee With Authors Amendments Read Second Time And Amended Re Referred To Com On Rls 2025 03 24	In Senate	Monitor	Low
Title Firearms: silencers.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:29 PM This bill would replace the term “silencer” with the term “suppressor” in the penal and business and professions code.		
Primary Sponsors Marie Alvarado-Gil					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Adam Wilson at Apr 9, 2025, 6:31 PM While this bill may seem insignificant, it represents an attempt to shift away from the myth that silencers can actually "silence" a firearm. There is a small potentially for this verbiage to set up more positive bills for suppressors in the future.					

State	Bill Number	Last Action	Status	Position	Priority
CA	SB 704	Read Second Time Ordered To Third Reading 2025 05 27	In Senate	Oppose	High
Title Firearms: firearm barrels.			Bill Summary: Last edited by Adam Wilson at Mar 31, 2025, 4:12 PM Commencing on July 1, 2026, this bill would, except as specified, prohibit the sale or transfer of a firearm barrel, as defined, unless the transaction is completed in person by a licensed firearms dealer. The bill would require the licensed firearms dealer to conduct a background check of the purchaser or transferee and to record specified information pertaining to the transaction, including the date of the sale or transfer.		
Primary Sponsors Jesse Arreguin					
Organizational Notes Last edited by Laurie Paredes at Apr 16, 2025, 5:42 PM The bill would ridiculously require a licensed firearms dealer to conduct a background check of the purchaser or transferee of a stand-alone firearm barrel. The anti-gunners are scared of literally everything. Including a barrel that is not even attached to a gun {LETTER}.					